


“Diffraction at eRHIC,
—probing the heavy
nucleus at small x ”

POETIC 2013



Tobias Toll
BNL



3 conundrums for the small- x nucleus


1. how saturated is the initial state of the nucleus?
2. how are gluons distributed in space?
3. how much does the spatial distribution fluctuate?

Importance of gluons




Importance of gluons



Don't trust the gluons






they make up everything!




Saturation at eRHIC



Saturation at eRHIC





$$Q_s^2(x) \sim A^{1/3} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^\lambda$$



Saturation at eRHIC

Pocket formula: $Q_s^2(x) \sim A^{1/3} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^\lambda \sim \left(\frac{A}{x} \right)^{1/3}$

Gold: $A=197$, x 197 times smaller!




Model-I: bSat, Model-II: rcBK


Saturation at eRHIC

Pocket formula: $Q_s^2(x) \sim A^{1/3} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^\lambda \sim \left(\frac{A}{x} \right)^{1/3}$

Gold: $A=197$, x 197 times smaller!




π^0 - π^0 forward correlation in pp and dA at RHIC




arXiv:1008.3989v1

beam-view:




Striking broadening of **away side** peak in **central dA** compared to pp and peripheral dA !

π^0 - π^0 forward correlation in pp and dA at RHIC






arXiv:1008.3989v1

beam-view:




Striking broadening of **away side** peak in **central dA** compared to pp and *peripheral dA*!

π^0 - π^0 forward correlation in pp and dA at RHIC



arXiv:1008.3989v1





Perfect saturation signature

1 question, 2 answers

Initial state saturation model

Initial and final state
multiple scattering






$$\langle q_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{dAu} = \langle q_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{pp} + \Delta \langle q_{\perp}^2 \rangle$$

How saturated is the initial state?

Dihadron correlations at eRHIC

eA vs. $p(d)A$:

eA experimentally much cleaner,
no “spectator” background to extract
Access to the exact kinematics of the DIS
process (x, Q^2)





Dihadron correlations at eRHIC

Dominguez, Xiao, Yuan, Lee, Zheng '11/12



Exp: **Saturation vs.
no saturation**

Theory: **Saturation**



Non-sat.: **Pythia+nPDF(EPS09) w. nuclear geometry from DPMJET-III**


Connection between cosmic rays and saturation



3 conundrums of the small- x nucleus

1. how saturated is the initial state of the nucleus?
2. how are gluons distributed in space?
3. how much does the spatial distribution fluctuate?
lumpiness, hot-spots etc.

Eccentricity and the spatial distr.



$$\frac{dN}{d\varphi} \propto 1 + 2v_2 \cos[2(\varphi - \psi_R)] + \dots$$

$$v_2 = \langle \cos[2(\varphi - \psi_R)] \rangle$$

sensitive to **early** interactions and
pressure gradients

Ideal hydrodynamics, $v_2 \propto$ spatial eccentricity ϵ : $\epsilon = \frac{\langle y^2 - x^2 \rangle}{\langle y^2 + x^2 \rangle}$

v_2/ϵ versus particle density is sensitive gauge to test if
system approaches ideal hydrodynamic

$$\frac{v_2}{\epsilon} = \frac{h}{1 + B / \left(\frac{1}{S} \frac{dN}{dy} \right)}$$

Bhalerao, Blaizot, Borghini and Ollitrault,
Phys. Lett. B 627 (2005) 49
Luzum and Romatschke, Phys. Rev. C 78, 034915

S = transverse area, h = hydro limit of v_2/ϵ and $B \propto \eta/s$

Eccentricity and the spatial distr.

The question is what is ε ?



RHIC & LHC: low- p_T realm driven almost entirely by glue

\Rightarrow spatial distribution of glue in nuclei?

Two methods for ε :

- ▶ Glauber (non-saturated)?
- ▶ CGC (saturated)?

$$\varepsilon_{\text{CGC}} > \varepsilon_{\text{Glauber}}$$



Eccentricity and the spatial distr.

The question is what is ε ?



RHIC & LHC: low- p_T realm driven almost entirely by glue

\Rightarrow spatial distribution of glue in nuclei?

Two methods for ε :


- ▶ Glauber (non-saturated)?
- ▶ CGC (saturated)?

Impact on η/s




Fluctuations


IP-Glasma




KLN(CGCG)



Glauber
(Woods-Saxon)




Schenke, Tribedy, Venugopalan arXiv:1202.6646




Different initial states=
different fluctuation scales

Fluctuations

IP-Glasma




Schenke, Tribedy, Venugopalan arXiv:1202.6646



Wouldn't it be nice if we could measure $\rho(g)$ and fluctuations directly??


Glauber
(Woods-Saxon)




Different initial states=
different fluctuation scales

Diffraction at eRHIC


DIS ep and eA



DIS ep and eA



diffraction ep and eA




HERA:

proton collides with electron at
CMS energy $\sim 300 m_p$.
in $\sim 15\%$ of measured collisions
proton stays intact!

eRHIC $e+A$:

ion predicted to stay
intact in $25\%-40\%$ of
events w. **saturation!**



diffraction ep and eA




why is diffraction so great, part I?

diffraction sensitive to gluon **momentum** distributions²:



$$\sigma \propto g(x, Q^2)^2$$



how does the gluon
distribution saturate at
small x ?




eRHIC predictions: inclusive diffraction



can constrain models **a lot** with a few months of running!
already in **Stage I**!

why is diffraction so great, part II?



depend on t , momentum transfer to proton/ion.

Fourier transform of t -distribution

$\underline{\underline{=}}$
transverse spatial distribution

spatial imaging!



why is diffraction so great, part II?

sensitive to **spatial** gluon
distributions

a projectile scattering off a
nucleus of radius R

light scattering elastically off
a circular screen of radius R

-not a 'black disk', edge effects
-inelastic scattering



incoherent Scattering

Good, Walker:


nucleus dissociates ($f \neq i$):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_{\text{incoherent}} &\propto \sum_{f \neq i} \langle i | \mathcal{A} | f \rangle^\dagger \langle f | \mathcal{A} | i \rangle \quad \text{complete set} \\
 &= \sum_f \langle i | \mathcal{A} | f \rangle^\dagger \langle f | \mathcal{A} | i \rangle - \langle i | \mathcal{A} | i \rangle^\dagger \langle i | \mathcal{A} | i \rangle \\
 &= \langle i | |\mathcal{A}|^2 | i \rangle - |\langle i | \mathcal{A} | i \rangle|^2 = \langle |\mathcal{A}|^2 \rangle - |\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

the incoherent CS is the variance of the amplitude!!

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{total}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \langle |\mathcal{A}|^2 \rangle$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{16\pi} |\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle|^2$$



how to measure $t=(P_A-P_{A'})^2$

need to measure $P_{A'}$


coherent case: A' disappears down beam pipe

incoherent case: cannot measure all beam remnants

only possibility: Exclusive diffraction


$$e+A \rightarrow e'+VM+A'$$

$$t=(P_{VM}+P_{e'}-P_e)^2$$



eRHIC predictions: new physics event generator *Sartre*


exclusive
diffractive vector
meson and DVCS
production in
eA



T. Ullrich & TT




eRHIC predictions:

Sartre dipole model with **glauber** **bSat** and **bNonSat**





eRHIC predictions:

Sartre dipole model with **glauber** **bSat** and **bNonSat**





(Woods-Saxon)

eRHIC predictions: exclusive diffraction with Sartre




Can constrain models **a lot** with a few months of running!
 First 4 dips obtainable.

eRHIC predictions: exclusive diffraction with Sartre





Can constrain models **a lot** with a few months of running!
 Can measure incoherent (lumpiness) to great precisions!

Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC




$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{1}{16\pi} |\mathcal{A}(\Delta)|^2$$

$$\Delta \simeq \sqrt{-t}$$



$$\mathcal{A}(\Delta) \sim \text{Fourier}(\text{Wave Overlap} \cdot \text{Dipole Model}(b))$$





Fourier transform again to retain spatial distribution:

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta) \right|_{\text{mod}}}$$



Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta)} \Big|_{\text{mod}}$$





Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta) \right|_{\text{mod}}}$$





Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta)} \Big|_{\text{mod}}$$





Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta) \right|_{\text{mod}}}$$





Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta) \right|_{\text{mod}}}$$





Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta)} \Big|_{\text{mod}}$$





Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta)} \Big|_{\text{mod}}$$







Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta) \right|_{\text{mod}}}$$




Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta) \right|_{\text{mod}}}$$







Probing the distribution

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d$$




Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta) \right|_{\text{mod}}}$$



Probing the **spatial** gluon distribution at eRHIC

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta J_0(\Delta b) \sqrt{\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\text{coherent}}}{dt}(\Delta) \right|_{\text{mod}}}$$



UPC at RHIC with Sartre

PHENIX UPC J/ψ

$$\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy} = 76 \pm 33(\text{stat.}) \pm 11(\text{syst.}) \mu b$$

$$|\eta(J/\psi)| < 0.35$$

Sartre: $\frac{d\sigma}{dy} = 118.5 \mu b$

Summary

Key diffractive measurements at eRHIC:

Rate of diffractive events vs. total events.

low luminosity “day one” measurement.

Exclusive vector meson production:

Q^2 distributions - medium luminosity,

stunning discriminatory power between models

Coherent t -distributions - high luminosity

can obtain source gluon distribution model

independently with J/ψ , sees non-linear effects with φ .

Incoherent t -distribution - high luminosity

measure of fluctuations in the initial state nucleus